arXiv:1405.6288v1 [math.GR] 24 May 2014

Upper central series for the group of unitriangular automorphisms of a free associative algebra

Valeriy G. Bardakov and Mikhail V. Neshchadim

Abstract. We study some subgroups of the group of unitriangular automorphisms U_n of a free associative algebra over a field of characteristic zero. We find the center of U_n and describe the hypercenters of U_2 and U_3. In particular, we prove that the upper central series for U_2 has infinite length. As consequence, we prove that the groups U_n are non-linear for all $n \geq 2$.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider a free associative algebra $A_n = K\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\rangle$ over a field K of characteristic zero. We assume that A_n has unity. The group of K-automorphisms of this algebra, i.e. automorphisms that fix elements of K is denoted by $\mathrm{Aut}\, A_n$. The group of tame automorphisms $\mathrm{TAut}\, A_n$ of A_n is generated by the group of affine automorphism $\mathrm{Aff}\, A_n$ and the group of unitraingular automorphisms $U_n = U(A_n)$. From the result of Umirbaev [**U**] follows that $\mathrm{Aut}\, A_3 \neq \mathrm{TAut}\, A_3$.

A question about linearity (i.e. about a faithful representation by finite dimensional matrices over some field) of $\mathrm{TAut}\, A_n$ was studied in the paper of Roman'kov, Chirkov, Shevelin [**R**], where it was proved that for $n \geq 4$ these groups are not linear. Sosnovskii [**S**] proved that for $n \geq 3$ the group $\mathrm{Aut}\, P_n$ is not linear, where $P_n = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ is the polynomial algebra over a field K. His result follows from description of the upper central series for the group of unitriangular automorphisms $U(P_n)$ of P_n.

The structure of the present paper is the following. In Section 2 we introduce some notations, recall some facts on the automorphism group of free associative algebra and its subgroups. In the previous article [**B**] we found the lower central series and the series of the commutator subgroups for U_n. In Section 3 we study the upper central series for U_2 and prove that the length of this series is infinite. Prove that U_2 is non-linear. In Section 4 we study the upper central series for U_3

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16W20; Secondary 20E15, 20F14.

Key words and phrases. Free associative algebra, group of unitriangular automorphisms, upper central series.

The first author thank the organizers of the Conference "Groups, Geometry and Dynamics" (Almora, India, 2012) for this beautiful and interesting Conference.

The authors was supported by Federal Target Grant "Scientific and educational personnel of innovation Russia" for 2009-2013 (government contract No. 02.740.11.0429). Also, this research was supported by the Indo-Russian DST-RFBR project grant DST/INT/RFBR/P-137 (No. 13-01-92697).

and describe the hypercentral subgroups in the terms of some algebras. In Section 5 we find the center of U_n for $n \geq 4$. Also, in Sections 4 and 5 we formulate some hypotheses and questions that are connected with the theory of non-commutative invariants in free associative algebra under the action of some subgroups of U_n.

2. Some previous results and remark

Let us recall definitions of some automorphisms and subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}\, A_n$.

For any index $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, a constant $\alpha \in K^* = K \backslash \{0\}$ and a polynomial $f = f(x_1, \ldots, \widehat{x_i}, \ldots, x_n) \in A_n$ (where the symbol $\widehat{x_i}$ denotes that x_i is not included in f) *the elementary automorphism* $\sigma(i, \alpha, f)$ is an automorphism in $\mathrm{Aut}\, A_n$ that acts on the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ by the rule:

$$\sigma(i, \alpha, f) : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto \alpha\, x_i + f, \\ x_j \longmapsto x_j, \qquad\qquad \text{if} \quad j \neq i. \end{cases}$$

The group of tame automorphisms $\mathrm{TAut}\, A_n$ is generated by all elementary automorphisms.

The group of affine automorphisms $\mathrm{Aff}\, A_n$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{TAut}\, A_n$ that consists of automorphisms

$$x_i \longmapsto a_{i1}x_1 + \ldots + a_{in}x_n + b_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where a_{ij}, $b_i \in K$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, and the matrix (a_{ij}) is a non-degenerate one. The group of affine automorphisms is the semidirect product $K^n \leftthreetimes \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ and, in particular, embeds in the group of matrices $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(K)$.

The group of triangular automorphisms $T_n = T(A_n)$ of algebra A_n is generated by automorphisms

$$x_i \longmapsto \alpha_i x_i + f_i(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n), \ i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where $\alpha_i \in K^*$, $f_i \in A_n$ and $f_n \in K$. If all $\alpha_i = 1$ then this automorphism is called *the unitriangular automorphism*. The group of unitriangular automorphisms is denoted by $U_n = U(A_n)$.

In the group U_n let us define a subgroup G_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ which is generated by automorphisms

$$\sigma(i, 1, f), \ \text{ where } f = f(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n) \in A_n.$$

Note that the subgroup G_i is abelian and isomorphic to an additive subgroup of algebra A_n that is generated by $x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, and the subgroup G_n is isomorphic to the additive group of the field K.

The lower central series of a group G is the series

$$G = \gamma_1 G \geq \gamma_2 G \geq \ldots,$$

where $\gamma_{i+1}G = [\gamma_i G, G]$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$. *The series of the commutator subgroups* of a group G is the series

$$G = G^{(0)} \geq G^{(1)} \geq G^{(2)} \geq \ldots,$$

where $G^{(i+1)} = [G^{(i)}, G^{(i)}]$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots$. Here for subsets H, K of G, $[H, K]$ denotes the subgroup of G generated by the commutators $[h, k] = h^{-1}k^{-1}hk$ for $h \in H$ and $k \in K$.

Recall that the k-th hypercenter $Z_k = Z_k(G)$ of the upper central series of G for the non-limited ordinal k is defined by the rule

$$Z_k / Z_{k-1} = Z(G / Z_{k-1})$$

or equivalently,

$$Z_k = \{g \in G \mid [g,h] \in Z_{k-1} \text{ for all } h \in G\},$$

and $Z_1(G) = Z(G)$ is the center of G. If α is a limit ordinal, then define

$$Z_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} Z_\beta.$$

It was proved in [**B**] that U_n is a semidirect product of abelian groups:

$$U_n = (\dots(G_1 \leftthreetimes G_2) \leftthreetimes \dots) \leftthreetimes G_n,$$

and the lower central series and the series of commutator subgroups of U_n satisfy the following two properties respectvely:

1) For $n \geq 2$

$$\gamma_2 U_n = \gamma_3 U_n = \dots.$$

In particular, for $n \geq 2$ the group U_n is not nilpotent.

2) The group U_n is solvable of degree n and the corresponding commutator subgroups have the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
&U_n = (\dots(G_1 \leftthreetimes G_2) \leftthreetimes \dots) \leftthreetimes G_n,\\
&U_n^{(1)} = (\dots(G_1 \leftthreetimes G_2) \leftthreetimes \dots) \leftthreetimes G_{n-1},\\
&\dots\dots\dots

is the group of unitriangular automorphisms of A_2.

It is not difficult to check the following Lemma

LEMMA 3.1. *1) If $\varphi = (x + f(y), y + b) \in U_2$, then its inverse is equal to*

$$\varphi^{-1} = (x - f(y - b), y - b)\,;$$

2) if $\varphi = (x + f(y), y + b)$ and $\psi = (x + h(y), y + c) \in U_2$, then the following formulas hold:

– the formula of conjugation

$$\psi^{-1}\varphi\psi = (x + h(y) - h(y + b) + f(y + c), y + b)\,,$$

– the formula of commutation

$$\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}\varphi\psi = (x + h(y) - h(y + b) + f(y + c) - f(y), y)\,.$$

Using this Lemma we can describe the center of U_2.

LEMMA 3.2. *The center of U_2 has the form $Z(U_2) = \{\varphi = (x + a, y) \mid a \in K\}$.*

PROOF. If $\varphi = (x + a, y)$, then from the formula of conjugation (see Lemma 3.1) follows that $\varphi \in Z(U_2)$. To prove the inverse inclusion suppose $\varphi = (x + f(y), y + b) \in Z(U_2)$. Using the formula of conjugation we get

$$\varphi = (x + f(y), y + b) = \psi^{-1}\varphi\psi = (x + h(y) - h(y + b) + f(y + c)y + b)\,,$$

for any automorphism $\psi = (x + h(y), y + c) \in U_2$, i.e. $f(y) = h(y) - h(y+b) + f(y+c)$. Taking $h = 0$ we get $f(y) = f(y + c)$ for every $c \in K$. Hence, $f(y) = a \in K$. We have only relation $0 = h(y) - h(y + b)$. Since $h(y)$ is arbitrary, it follows that $b = 0$.

□

LEMMA 3.3. *The following properties hold true in U_2.*
1) $[U_2, U_2] = \{\varphi = (x + f(y), y) \mid f(y) \in K\langle y\rangle\}$.
2) If $\varphi = (x + f(y), y)$, where $f(y) \in K\langle y\rangle \setminus K$, then

$$C_{U_2}(\varphi) = \{(x + h(y), y) \mid h(y) \in K\langle y\rangle\}\,,$$

where $C_{U_2}(\varphi)$ is the centralizer of φ in U_2, i.e. $C_{U_2}(\varphi) = \{\psi \in U_2 \mid \psi\varphi = \varphi\psi\}$.
3) If $\varphi = (x, y + b)$, $b \in K$, then $C_{U_2}(\varphi) = \{(x + a, y + c) \mid a, c \in K\}$.

PROOF. 1) Let $\varphi = (x + f(y), y + b)$, $\psi = (x + h(y), y + c) \in U_2$. By the formula of commutation

$$\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}\varphi\psi = (x + h(y) - h(y + b) + f(y + c) - f(y), y)\,.$$

It is easy to see that any element of $K\langle y\rangle$ can be represented in a form $r(y+d)-r(y)$ for some $r(y) \in K\langle y\rangle$ and $d \in K$. Hence,

$$K\langle y\rangle = \{h(y) - h(y + b) + f(y + c) - f(y) \mid h, f \in K\langle y\rangle,\ b, c \in K\}$$

and 1) is true.

2) Let $\varphi = (x + f(y), y)$, $f(y) \in K\langle y\rangle \setminus K$ and $\psi = (x + h(y), y + c)$ be an arbitrary element of $C_{U_2}(\varphi)$. Using the formula of conjugation we get

$$\varphi = (x + f(y), y) = \psi^{-1}\varphi\psi = (x + f(y + c), y)\,.$$

Hence $c = 0$.

3) Let $\varphi = (x, y + b)$ and $\psi = (x + h(y), y + c)$ be an arbitrary element of $C_{U_2}(\varphi)$. Using the formula of conjugation we get

$$\varphi = (x, y + b) = \psi^{-1}\varphi\psi = (x + h(y) - h(y + b), y + b)\,.$$

Hence $h(y) = a \in K$.

□

LEMMA 3.4. *If s is a non-negative integer, then the $(s+1)$th hypercenter of U_2 has the form*

$$Z_{s+1}(U_2) = \{\varphi = (x + f(y), y) \mid f(y) \in K\langle y\rangle,\ \deg f(y) \leq s\}\,.$$

PROOF. If $s = 0$, then the assertion follows from Lemma 3.2. Suppose that for $s + 1$ the assertion holds true. We now prove it for $s + 2$. Let

$$\varphi = (x + f(y), y + b) \in Z_{s+2}(U_2).$$

Using the formula of commutation (see Lemma 3.1) for φ and $\psi = (x + h(y), y + c)$ we get

$$\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}\varphi\psi = (x + h(y) - h(y + b) + f(y + c) - f(y), y)\,.$$

If $b \neq 0$ and since $h(y)$ is an arbitrary polynomial of $K\langle y\rangle$, then $h(y) - h(y + b) + f(y + c) - f(y)$ represents arbitrary element of $K\langle y\rangle$. But it is not possible since for any automorphism $\varphi = (x + f(y), y) \in Z_{s+1}$ the degree of $f(y)$ is not bigger than s. Hence $b = 0$. Since $\deg f(y + c) - f(y) \leq s$ and c is an arbitrary element of K, we have $\deg f(y) \leq s + 1$. So the inclusion from left to right is proved. The inverse inclusion is evident.

□

Hence, from Lemma 3.4

$$Z_\omega(U_2) = \{\varphi = (x + f(y), y) \mid f(y) \in K\langle y\rangle\}\,,$$

and using Lemma 3.3 we have $Z_\omega(U_2) = [U_2, U_2]$. Therefore

$$Z_{\omega+1}(U_2) = U_2.$$

COROLLARY 3.1. *The group U_2 is not linear.*

PROOF. We know (see, for example [**G**]) that if a linear group does not contain torsion, then the length of the upper central series of this group is finite. But we proved that the length of the upper central series for U_2 is equal to $\omega + 1$. Hence, the group U_2 is not linear. □

Since U_2 is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut} A_2$, we have proven that this group is not linear too. Using the fact that if P_2 is a polynomial algebra with unit over K, then $\operatorname{Aut} A_2 = \operatorname{Aut} P_2$ (see, for example [**C**]).

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $n \geq 2$. Then the groups $\operatorname{Aut} A_n$ and $\operatorname{Aut} P_n$ are not linear.*

It follows from the fact that $\operatorname{Aut} A_2 \leq \operatorname{Aut} A_n$ and $\operatorname{Aut} P_2 \leq \operatorname{Aut} P_n$ for all $n \geq 2$.

REMARK 3.5. In [**S**] the author considered the polynomials without free terms and proved that $\operatorname{Aut} P_3$ is not linear. Using his method it is not difficult to prove that if P_2 contains free terms, then $\operatorname{Aut} P_2$ is not linear over any field of arbitrary characteristic.

4. Unitriangular group U_3

The group U_3 is equal to

$$U_3 = \{(x_1 + f_1, x_2 + f_2, x_3 + f_3) \mid f_1 = f_1(x_2, x_3) \in K\langle x_2, x_3\rangle,$$
$$f_2 = f_2(x_3) \in K\langle x_3\rangle, f_3 \in K\}.$$

Define an algebra S as subalgebra of $K\langle x_2, x_3\rangle$

$$S = \{f(x_2, x_3) \in K\langle x_2, x_3\rangle \mid f(x_2 + g(x_3), x_3 + h) = f(x_2, x_3)$$
$$\text{for any } g(x_3) \in K\langle x_3\rangle,\ h \in K\}$$

Hence, S is a subalgebra of fixed elements under the action of the group

$$\{(x_2 + g, x_3 + h) \mid g = g(x_3) \in K\langle x_3\rangle, h \in K\}$$

which is isomorphic to U_2. The set S is a subalgebra of A_3.

Define a set of commutators

$$c_1 = [x_2, x_3],\ c_{k+1} = [c_k, x_3],\ k = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

where $[a, b] = ab - ba$ is the ring commutator. Using induction on k, it is not difficult to check the following result.

LEMMA 4.1. *The commutators c_k, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, lie in S.*

Hypothesis 1. The algebra $K\langle c_1, c_2, \ldots\rangle$ is equal to S.

Note that the elements $c_1, c_2, \ldots$ are free generators of $K\langle c_1, c_2, \ldots\rangle$ (see [**Co**, p. 62]).

THEOREM 4.2. *The center $Z(U_3)$ of the group U_3 is equal to*

$$Z(U_3) = \{(x_1 + f_1, x_2, x_3) \mid f_1 = f_1(x_2, x_3) \in S\}.$$

PROOF. The inclusion $\supseteq$ is evident. Let

$$\varphi = (x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3 + f_3)$$

be some element in $Z(U_3)$ and

$$\psi = (x_1 + g_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3)$$

be an arbitrary element of U_3. Since $\varphi\psi = \psi\varphi$ then we have the equalities

$$x_1+g_1(x_2, x_3)+f_1(x_2+g_2(x_3), x_3+g_3) = x_1+f_1(x_2, x_3)+g_1(x_2+f_2(x_3), x_3+f_3),$$

$$x_2 + g_2(x_3) + f_2(x_3 + g_3) = x_2 + f_2(x_3) + g_2(x_3 + f_3),$$

$$x_3 + g_3 + f_3 = x_3 + f_3 + g_3.$$

The third equality holds for all x_3, g_3 and f_3. Rewrite the first and the second equality in the form

$$g_1(x_2, x_3) + f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) = f_1(x_2, x_3) + g_1(x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3 + f_3),$$

$$g_2(x_3) + f_2(x_3 + g_3) = f_2(x_3) + g_2(x_3 + f_3).$$

Let $g_1 = x_2$, $g_2 = g_3 = 0$. Then

$$x_2 + f_1(x_2, x_3) = f_1(x_2, x_3) + x_2 + f_2(x_3).$$

Hence $f_2(x_3) = 0$.

Let $g_1 = x_3$, $g_2 = g_3 = 0$. Then

$$x_3 + f_1(x_2, x_3) = f_1(x_2, x_3) + x_3 + f_3.$$

Hence $f_3 = 0$. We have only one condition

$$f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) = f_1(x_2, x_3),$$

i.e. $f_1 \in S$.

□

Let us define the following subsets in the algebra $A_2 = K\langle x_2, x_3\rangle$:

$S_1 = S$,

$S_{m+1} = \{f \in A_2 \mid f^\varphi - f \in S_m \text{ for all } \varphi \in U_2\}$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$,

$S_\omega = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} S_m$,

$S_{\omega+1} = \{f \in A_2 \mid f^\varphi - f \in S_\omega \text{ for all } \varphi \in U_2\}$,

$S_{\omega+m+1} = \{f \in A_2 \mid f^\varphi - f \in S_{\omega+m} \text{ for all } \varphi \in U_2\}$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$,

$S_{2\omega} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} S_{\omega+m}$,

$R_m = \{f = f(x_3) \in K\langle x_3\rangle \mid \deg f \le m\}$, $m = 0, 1, \ldots$,

$R_\omega = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} R_m$.

It is not difficult to see that all S_k are modules over S.

Remark 4.3. If we consider the homomorphism

$$\pi : K\langle x_1, x_2, x_3\rangle \longrightarrow K[x_1, x_2, x_3],$$

then

$S^\pi = K$,

$S^\pi_{m+1} = \{f \in K[x_3] \mid \deg f \le m\}$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$,

$S^\pi_\omega = K[x_3]$,

$S^\pi_{\omega+m+1} = \{f \in K[x_2, x_3] \mid \deg_{x_2} f \le m\}$, $m = 0, 1, \ldots$,

$S^\pi_{2\omega} = K[x_2, x_3]$,

$R^\pi_m = \{f \in K[x_3] \mid \deg f \le m\}$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$,

$R_\omega^\pi = K[x_3]$.

THEOREM 4.4. *The following equalities hold*

$$Z_m = \{(x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2, x_3) \mid f_1 \in S_m\},\ m = 1, 2, \ldots, 2\omega, \tag{4.1}$$

$$Z_{2\omega+m} = \{(x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3) \mid f_1 \in k\langle x_2, x_3\rangle, f_2(x_3) \in R_m\},\ m = 1, 2, \ldots, \omega, \tag{4.2}$$

$$Z_{3\omega+1} = U_3. \tag{4.3}$$

PROOF. We use induction on m. To prove (4.1) for $m+1$, we assume that for all m such that $1 \leq m < \omega$ equality (4.1) holds. If

$$\varphi = (x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3 + f_3) \in Z_{m+1}$$

and

$$\psi = (x_1 + g_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) \in U_3,$$

then for some

$$\theta = (x_1 + h_1(x_2, x_3), x_2, x_3) \in Z_m$$

holds $\varphi\,\psi = \psi\,\varphi\,\theta$. Acting on the generators x_1, x_2, x_3 by $\varphi\,\psi$ and $\psi\,\varphi\,\theta$ we have two relations

$$f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) - f_1(x_2, x_3) = h_1(x_2, x_3) + g_1(x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3 + f_3) - g_1(x_2, x_3), \tag{4.4}$$

$$g_2(x_3) + f_2(x_2 + g_3) = f_2(x_3) + g_2(x_2 + f_3). \tag{4.5}$$

If $g_2 = 0$, then the relation (4.5) has the form

$$f_2(x_2 + g_3) = f_2(x_3).$$

Since g_3 is an arbitrary element of K, then $f_2 \in K$. But in this case (4.5) has the form

$$g_2(x_3 + f_3) = g_2(x_3).$$

Since $g_2(x_3)$ is an arbitrary element of $K\langle x_3\rangle$, then $f_3 = 0$ and (4.4) has the form

$$f_1(x_2+g_2(x_3), x_3+g_3)-f_1(x_2, x_3) = h_1(x_2, x_3)+g_1(x_2+f_2, x_3)-g_1(x_2, x_3). \tag{4.6}$$

Let $g_1 = x_2^N$ for some natural number N. Using the homomorphism

$$\pi : K\langle x_1, x_2, x_3\rangle \longrightarrow K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$$

and the equality $\deg_{x_2} h_1^\pi = 0$ we see that if $f_2 \neq 0$, then

$$\deg_{x_2} (f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) - f_1(x_2, x_3))^\pi = N - 1.$$

Since N is any non-negative integer, then $f_2 = 0$ and

$$f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) - f_1(x_2, x_3) \in S_m,$$

i.e. $f_1(x_2, x_3) \in S_{m+1}$ and we have proven the equality (4.1) for $m+1$:

$$Z_{m+1} = \{(x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2, x_3) \mid f_1 \in S_{m+1}\}.$$

To prove (4.1) for $\omega + m + 1$ assume that for all $\omega + m$ such that $1 \leq m < \omega$ equality (4.1) holds. If $\varphi \in Z_{\omega+m+1}$ and $\psi \in U_3$ then for some $\theta \in Z_{\omega+m}$ we have

$\varphi\,\psi = \psi\,\varphi\,\theta$ that give the relations (4.4) and (4.5). As in the previous case we can check that $f_2 \in K$, $f_3 = 0$ and (4.4)–(4.5) are equivalent to (4.6). Let $g_1 = x_2^N$ for some natural number N. Using the homomorphism

$$\pi : K\langle x_1, x_2, x_3\rangle \longrightarrow K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$$

and the inequality $\deg_{x_2} h_1^{\pi} \leq m-1$ we see that if $f_2 \neq 0$, then

$$\deg_{x_2} \left(f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) - f_1(x_2, x_3)\right)^{\pi} = N - 1$$

for $N \geq m+1$. But the degree of the left hand side is bounded. Hence $f_2 = 0$ and we have

$$f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) - f_1(x_2, x_3) \in S_{\omega+m},$$

i.e., $f_1(x_2, x_3) \in S_{m+1}$ and we have proven the equality (4.1) for $\omega + m + 1$:

$$Z_{\omega+m+1} = \{(x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2, x_3) \mid f_1 \in S_{\omega+m+1}\}.$$

To prove (4.2) for $m+1$ assume that for all m such that $1 \leq m < \omega$ equality (4.2) holds. If $\varphi \in Z_{2\omega+m+1}$, $\psi \in U_3$, then for some $\theta \in Z_{2\omega+m}$ we have $\varphi\,\psi = \psi\,\varphi\,\theta$. If

$$\varphi = (x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3 + f_3),$$
$$\psi = (x_1 + g_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3)$$

and

$$\theta = (x_1 + h_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + h_2(x_3), x_3),$$

then we have the relations

$$x_1 + g_1(x_2, x_3) + f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) = x_1 + h_1(x_2, x_3) + f_1(x_2 + h_2(x_3), x_3) + \\ + g_1(x_2 + h_2(x_3) + f_2(x_3), x_3 + f_3),$$

$$x_2 + g_2(x_3) + f_2(x_3 + g_3) = x_2 + h_2(x_3) + f_2(x_3) + g_2(x_3 + f_3).$$

Since h_1 is an arbitrary element of $K\langle x_2, x_3\rangle$, then we must consider only the second relation which is equal to

$$f_2(x_3 + g_3) - f_2(x_3) = h_2(x_3) + g_2(x_3 + f_3) - g_2(x_3).$$

Since $\deg h_2 \leq m$ and $g_2(x_3)$ is any element of $K\langle x_3\rangle$, then $f_3 = 0$. Hence,

$$f_2(x_3 + g_3) - f_2(x_3) = h_2(x_3).$$

From this equality follows that $\deg f_2 \leq m+1$. We have proven the equality (4.2) for $m+1$:

$$Z_{2\omega+m+1} = \{(x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3) \mid f_1 \in K\langle x_2, x_3\rangle, f_2(x_3) \in R_{m+1}\}.$$

To prove (4.2) we note that

$$[U_3, U_3] \subseteq \{(x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3)\}.$$

□

We described the hypercenters of U_3 in the terms of the algebras S_m and $S_{\omega+m}$. It is interesting to find sets of generators for these algebras. To do it we must give answers on the following questions.

Question 1 (see Hypothesis 1). Is it true that

$$S = K\langle c_1, c_2, \ldots\rangle?$$

Question 2. Is it true that for all $m \geq 1$ the following equalities are true

$$S_{m+1} = \{f \in K\langle S, x_3\rangle \mid \deg_{x_3} f \leq m\}?$$

Question 3. Is it true that

$$\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} S_m = K\langle S, x_3\rangle?$$

Question 4. Is it true that for all $m \geq 1$ the following equalities are true

$$S_{\omega+m} = \{f \in K\langle S, x_3, x_2\rangle \mid \deg_{x_2} f \leq m\}?$$

If R is the Specht algebra of A_2, i.e. the subalgebra of A_2 that is generated by all commutators

$$[x_2, x_3],\ [[x_2, x_3], x_3],\ [[x_2, x_3], x_2], \dots$$

then the following inclusions hold

$$S_{m+1} \subset \{f \in K\langle R, x_3\rangle \mid \deg_{x_3} f \leq m\}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$S_{\omega+m} \subset \{f \in K\langle R, x_3, x_2\rangle \mid \deg_{x_2} f \leq m\}, \tag{4.8}$$

for all $m \geq 1$. It follows from the fact that $K\langle x_2, x_3\rangle$ is a free left R-module with the set of free generators

$$x_2^{\alpha} x_3^{\beta},\ \alpha, \beta \geq 0.$$

Note that the inclusion (4.7) is strict. It follows from

Proposition 4.1. *The commutators*

$$[x_2, \underbrace{x_3, \dots, x_3}_{k}, x_2] = [c_k, x_2],\ k \geq 1,$$

do not lie in S_m, $m \geq 1$.

Proof. Indeed, for the automorphism

$$\varphi = (x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3)$$

of the algebra $K\langle x_2, x_3\rangle$ we have

$$[c_k, x_2]^{\varphi} = [c_k^{\varphi}, x_2^{\varphi}] = [c_k, x_2 + g_2(x_3)] = [c_k, x_2] + [c_k, g_2(x_3)].$$

If $g_2(x_3) = x_3^N$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
[c_k, x_3^N] &= c_k\, x_3^N - x_3^N c_k = (c_k x_3 - x_3 c_k) x_3^{N-1} + x_3 c_k x_3^{N-1} - x_3^N c_k \\
&= c_{k+1} x_3^{N-1} + x_3 (c_k x_3^{N-1} - x_3^{N-1} c_k) \\
&= c_{k+1} x_3^{N-1} + x_3 \left((c_k x_3 - x_3 c_k) x_3^{N-2} + x_3 c_k x_3^{N-2} - x_3^{N-1} c_k \right) \\
&= c_{k+1} x_3^{N-1} + x_3 c_{k+1} x_3^{N-2} + x_3^2 (c_k x_3^{N-2} - x_3^{N-2} c_k) \\
&= \dots \\
&= \sum_{p+q=N-1} x_3^p c_{k+1} x_3^q.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $\varphi = (x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3)$ we have

$$[c_k, x_2]^{\varphi} - [c_k, x_2] = \sum_{p+q=N-1} x_3^p c_{k+1} x_3^q.$$

If

$$g_2(x_3) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n x_3^n,$$

then

$$[c_k, x_2]^{\varphi} - [c_k, x_2] = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} a_n \sum_{p+q=n-1} x_3^p c_{k+1} x_3^q.$$

If $[c_k, x_2] \in S_m$ for some m, then

$$[[c_k, x_2], \varphi] \equiv [c_k, x_2]^{\varphi} - [c_k, x_2] \in S_{m-1}.$$

Let

$$\psi = (x_2 + h_2(x_3), x_3 + h),\ \varphi = (x_2 + x_3^N, x_3).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
[[[c_k, x_2], \varphi], \psi] &= \left[\sum_{p+q=N-1} x_3^p c_{k+1} x_3^q, \psi \right] \\
&= \sum_{p+q=N-1} (x_3 + h)^p c_{k+1} (x_3 + h)^q - \sum_{p+q=N-1} x_3^p c_{k+1} x_3^q \\
&= \sum_{p+q=N-1} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{p-1} C_p^l x_3^l h^{p-l} \right) c_{k+1} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{q} C_{q-1}^r x_3^r h^{q-r} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

has the degree $N-2$ on the variable x_3. Continuing this process we are getting that if $\deg g_2(x_3) = N$, then

$$[c_k, x_2, \varphi, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{N-1}] \in S$$

for every $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{N-1} \in U_2$. Hence,

$[c_k, x_2, \varphi, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{N-1}] \in S$,

.....

$[[c_k, x_2, \varphi] \in S_N$,

$[c_k, x_2] \in S_{N+1}$.

Using the similar ideas we can prove that

$$[c_k, x_2] \notin S_N.$$

Since we can take arbitrary number $N > m$, then

$$[c_k, x_2] \notin S_m,\ m = 1, 2, \ldots$$

□

5. Center of the unitriangular group U_n, $n \geq 4$

In this section we prove the following assertion

THEOREM 5.1. *Any automorphism φ in the center $Z(U_n)$ of U_n has a form*

$$\varphi = (x_1 + f(x_2, \ldots, x_n), x_2, \ldots, x_n),$$

where the polynomial f is such that

$$f(x_2 + g_2, \ldots, x_n + g_n) = f(x_2, \ldots, x_n)$$

for every $g_2 \in K\langle x_3, \ldots, x_n\rangle$, $g_3 \in K\langle x_4, \ldots, x_n\rangle, \ldots, g_n \in K$.

We will assume that U_{n-1} includes in U_n by the rule

$$U_{n-1} = \{\varphi = (x_1, x_2 + g_2, \ldots, x_n + g_n) \in U_n \mid g_2 \in K\langle x_3, \ldots, x_n\rangle, \ \ldots, g_n \in K\}.$$

Hence we have the following sequence of inclusions for the subgroups U_k, $k = 3, \ldots, n$

$$U_n \geq U_{n-1} \geq \ldots \geq U_3.$$

In this assumption we can formulate Theorem by the following manner

$$Z(U_n) = \left\{\varphi = (x_1 + f(x_2, \ldots, x_n), x_2, \ldots, x_n) \mid f^{U_{n-1}} = f\right\},$$

where

$$f^{U_{n-1}} = \{f^{\psi} \mid \psi \in U_{n-1}\}.$$

PROOF. Let

$$\varphi = (x_1 + f_1, x_2 + f_2, \ldots, x_n + f_n) \in Z(U_n)$$

and

$$\psi = (x_1 + g_1, x_2 + g_2, \ldots, x_n + g_n)$$

be an arbitrary element of U_n. Then $x_k^{\varphi\psi} = x_k^{\psi\varphi}$ for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. In particular, if $k = 1$, then

$$(x_1 + f_1)^{\psi} = (x_1 + g_1)^{\varphi}. \tag{5.1}$$

Put $g_1 = x_2$, $g_2 = g_3 = \ldots = 0$. Then this relation has the form

$$x_1 + x_2 + f_1 = x_1 + f_1 + x_2 + f_2.$$

Hence, $f_2 = 0$.

Analogously, putting $g_1 = x_3$, $g_2 = g_3 = \ldots = 0$, we get $f_3 = 0$. Hence, $f_2 = f_3 = \ldots = f_n = 0$.

The relation (5.1) for arbitrary ψ has the form

$$x + g_1(x_2, \ldots, x_n) + f_1(x_2 + g_2, \ldots, x_n + g_n) = x + f_1(x_2, \ldots, x_n) + g_1(x_2, \ldots, x_n).$$

Hence,

$$f_1(x_2 + g_2, \ldots, x_n + g_n) = f_1(x_2, \ldots, x_n).$$

□

Let us define the notations

$$\zeta U_n = \left\{ f(x_2, \dots, x_n) \in K\langle x_2, \dots, x_n\rangle \mid f^{U_{n-1}} = f \right\},$$

$$\zeta U_{n-1} = \left\{ f(x_3, \dots, x_n) \in K\langle x_3, \dots, x_n\rangle \mid f^{U_{n-2}} = f \right\},$$

$$\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots$$

$$\zeta U_3 = \left\{ f(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n) \in K\langle x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n\rangle \mid f^{U_2} = f \right\}.$$

Note that $\zeta U_3 = S$.

We formulate the next hypothesis on the structure of the algebras ζU_k, $k = 3, \dots, n$.

Hypothesis 2. The following inclusions hold

$$\zeta U_4 \subseteq K\langle \zeta U_3, x_{n-2}\rangle,$$

$$\zeta U_5 \subseteq K\langle \zeta U_4, x_{n-3}\rangle,$$

$$\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots,$$

$$\zeta U_n \subseteq K\langle \zeta U_{n-1}, x_2\rangle.$$

Recall that by Hypothesis 1 we have

$$\zeta U_3 = K\langle c_1, c_2, \dots\rangle,$$

where $c_1 = [x_{n-1}, x_n]$, $c_{k+1} = [c_k, x_n]$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Proposition 5.1. *If Hypotheses 1 and 2 are true, then the following equality holds*

$$\zeta U_k = K\langle c_1, c_2, \dots\rangle, \;\; k = 3, 4, \dots, n.$$

Proof. For $k = 4$ Hypothesis 2 has the form

$$\zeta U_4 \subseteq K\langle \zeta U_3, x_{n-2}\rangle,$$

i.e., every polynomial $f \in \zeta U_4$ can be represented in the form

$$f = F(x_{n-2}, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N)$$

for some non-negative integer N. Applying the automorphism

$$\psi = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2} + g_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n),$$

we get

$$F(x_{n-2} + g_{n-2}, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N) = F(x_{n-2}, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N).$$

Here $g_{n-2} = g_{n-2}(x_{n-1}, x_n)$ is an arbitrary element of $K\langle x_{n-1}, x_n\rangle$. Putting in this equality $g_{n-2} = c_{N+1}$ and $x_{n-2} = 0$ we have

$$F(c_{N+1}, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N) = F(0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N).$$

Since $c_1, c_2, \dots$ are free generators, F does not contain the variable x_{n-2}. Hence

$$\zeta U_4 = K\langle c_1, c_2, \dots\rangle.$$

Analogously, we can prove the equality

$$\zeta U_k = K\langle c_1, c_2, \dots\rangle, \;\; k = 3, 4, \dots, n.$$

□

We see that the description of the hypercenters of U_n (see Hypotheses 1 and 2) is connected with the theory of non-commutative invariants in free associative algebra under the action of some subgroups of U_n. We will study these invariants in next papers.

References

[U] U. U. Umirbaev, *Defining relations of the tame automorphism group of polynomial rings, and wild automorphisms of free associative algebras,* (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk, **407**, N 3 (2006), 319–324.

[B] V. G. Bardakov, M. V. Neshchadim, Yu. V. Sosnovsky, *Groups of triangular automorphisms of a free associative algebra and a polynomial algebra,* J. Algebra, **362** (2012), 201–220.

[R] V. A. Roman'kov, I. V. Chirkov, M. A. Shevelin, *Nonlinearity of the automorphism groups of some free algebras,* Syberian Math. J, **45**, N 5 (2004), 974–977.

[S] Yu. V. Sosnovskii, *The hypercentral structure of the group of unitriangular automorphisms of a polynomial algebra,* Syberian Math. J., **48**, N 3 (2007), 555–558.

[G] K. W. Gruenberg, *The hypercenter of linear groups,* J. Algebra **8**, N 1 (1968), 34–40.

[Co] P. M. Cohn, *Free Rings and Their Relations,* 2nd Ed. Academ Press, London,1985.

[C] A. J. Czerniakiewicz, *Automorphisms of a free associative algebra of rank 2.* Part I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **160** (1971), 393–401.

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

and

Laboratory of Quantum Topology, Chelyabinsk State University, Brat'ev Kashirinykh street 129, Chelyabinsk 454001, Russia

E-mail address: bardakov@math.nsc.ru

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

E-mail address: neshch@math.nsc.ru